



Anderson Creek watershed: Water quality report for the 2024-25 wet season

Ross PS, Noel M, Blancard J, and Scott S. 2026. Anderson Creek watershed: Water quality report for the 2024-25 wet season. 3 of 4. Raincoast Conservation Foundation.
DOI: 10.70766/72.5066

Anderson Creek watershed: Water quality report for the 2024-25 wet season

Ross, PS, Blancard J, Noel M and Scott S. 2026. Anderson Creek watershed: Water quality report for the 2024-25 wet season. 3 of 4. Raincoast Conservation Foundation.

<https://doi.org/10.70766/72.5066>

Contributions by Pacific Ocean Discovery Station (PODS):
Michael Jackson, Jenn Blancard, Sidney-Rae Flumerfelt, SGS
Axys: Pam Mackenzie and Richard Grace.

Editing by Peter S. Ross, Samantha Scott and Marie Noel

Financial support by the Estate of Mary Gordon.

Table of contents

Executive summary.....	4
Key findings.....	5
Acknowledgements.....	7
Team.....	7
General introduction.....	8
Methods.....	10
Water properties.....	18
Coliform bacteria.....	20
Nutrients and Physical parameters.....	23
Metals and minerals.....	27
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs).....	31
Pesticides.....	36
Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products.....	41
Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS).....	43
Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs).....	48
Alkylphenol Ethoxylates.....	53
Bisphenols.....	55
Sucralose.....	57
6PPD-Quinone.....	59
Wet season water quality summary.....	61
List of acronyms.....	63
References.....	65
Appendix.....	70



Executive summary

Water is essential for life, and steps are needed to understand, protect and restore its health in fish habitat throughout British Columbia. The Raincoast Healthy Waters program was launched in 2023 to establish community-oriented water pollution monitoring in select BC watersheds. Two Healthy Waters sampling events take place every year in each watershed - the first in the dry season (summer), and the second being in the wet season (winter). This report highlights results from the first wet (winter) season sampling carried out with the support and participation of the Pacific Ocean Discovery Station (PODS).


Briefly, the Healthy Waters team collected water samples on December 12, 2024, from five water categories, including source water (3 samples), river water (3 samples), road runoff (3 samples), tap water (10 samples) and marine water (3 samples). The samples were pooled into composites by category and then analysed for coliform, nutrients (6), physical parameters, metals (37), pesticides (62), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs; 76), pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs; 141), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs; 209), alkylphenol ethoxylates (APEs; 4), bisphenols (BPs; 6), per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS; 40), sucralose and 6PPD-Quinone.

We detected 102 contaminants out of 577 measured in the stream and river sample collected within the Anderson Creek watershed, excluding nutrients, fecal coliform and physical parameters. Overall, the Anderson Creek watershed had **relatively good** water quality in the wet season, but additional sampling and analysis will provide further insight into contamination impacts from forest fires, domestic wastewater, industrial chemicals and road runoff on the health of this valued watershed.

Key findings

- This assessment of water quality in the Anderson Creek watershed reflects the third of several site visits; our understanding of water quality in these watersheds will grow with additional sampling.
- We collected and analysed water in the Anderson Creek watershed during the wet season (December 12, 2024).
- The marine water and road runoff water samples were the most contaminated water categories in the wet season; they had the highest concentrations of *E. coli*, metals, PAHs, and PPCPs, and PCBs, PFAS, nitrate, 6PPD-q and sucralose, respectively.
- The tap water sample had the highest concentrations of pesticides and bisphenols.
- The source and stream and river samples were the least contaminated categories in the wet season.
- Overall, the Anderson Creek watershed had **relatively good** water quality in the wet season:
 - There were four exceedances of Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines.
 - Exceedances included:
 - Aluminum in the stream and river sample.
 - Dissolved oxygen and aluminum in the road runoff water.
 - Boron in the marine water.
 - There were no exceedances of Health Canada Drinking Water Quality Guidelines.

Figure 1: The Anderson Creek Watershed



The Anderson Creek Watershed flows westward down from its source at Lyon Lake down into Pender Harbour, and covers an area of 49 km². Sampling sites were distributed throughout the watershed in order to capture a wide spatial range for our assessment of the health of fish habitat. The swiya of the shíshálh people lies between Queens Reach in Ikw'emin (Jervis Inlet) and Howe Sound on the south coast of British Columbia. Historically there were four main settlements at kalpílin (Pender Harbour), ts'unay (Deserted Bay), xenichen (head of Ikw'emin) and tewankw near alhtulich (Porpoise Bay) (Map by Brooke Gerle / Raincoast Conservation Foundation).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the financial support of the estate of Mrs. Mary Gordon. We acknowledge the assistance of Mark Tindle. We acknowledge the participation of the Pacific Ocean Ocean Discovery Station (PODS), and the enthusiastic support of Michael Jackson and Sidney-Rae Flumerfelt. We acknowledge the expert analytical support of Pam MacKenzie and Richard Grace at SGS-AXYS. We thank Xiangjun Liao, Andrew Ross and Neil Dangerfield at Fisheries and Oceans Canada for the provision of 6PPD-Q analysis. We thank Nicole Van Zutphen, Sherwin Arnott, and Brooke Gerle for report design. Photo credits: Sam Scott and Peter Ross.

Team

- Raincoast Healthy Waters: Peter S. Ross, Sam Scott, Ziggy Sveinson, and Marie Noel
- Pacific Ocean Discovery Station: Jenn Blancard and Sidney-Rae Flumerfelt




Photo: Ziggy Sveinson (left) and Jenn Blancard (right) measure and record water quality data. Photo credit: Sam Scott

General introduction

Background

Raincoast's Healthy Waters Program (www.raincoast.org/waters/) delivers high-resolution, community-oriented water quality analysis to watersheds across southern British Columbia. The goal of Healthy Waters is to empower communities with the understanding of the status of water quality in their watersheds, to allow for local stewardship regarding both point and nonpoint source pollution.

Pacific Ocean Discovery Station (PODS) is an initiative of the Loon Foundation. The Loon Foundation is a registered charity that was founded in 2002 (as the Ruby Lake Lagoon Nature Reserve Society). Their mission is to ignite connections between people and the natural world, encourage responsible stewardship of natural resources for future generations, and to provide facilities and programs for environmental education, arts and cultural exploration, scientific research, and ecological monitoring.



A watershed based approach to sampling

We collect samples from five different categories of water in each of our partner watersheds: from source water, upstream of human impacts, down to the marine environment.

Source water serves as an upstream reference sample, allowing us to determine which contaminants are being introduced as water traces its path down through the watershed.

Stream and river samples allow us to investigate the quality of fish habitat directly, by collecting samples from streams, creeks, and rivers used by salmon and other fish species (either currently or historically).

Road runoff serves as an impacted sample category of current concern, as many contaminants, including PAHs, metals, surfactants and chemicals such as 6-PPD quinone can be washed off roadways and into fish habitat during rain events.

We include **tap water** samples in our analysis as a way to bring our homes into the conversation - we borrow water from the environment in the form of municipal or well water, and generally return it to aquatic habitats in a more-degraded state in the form of storm and sewage effluent (treated or untreated).

Marine water samples provide insight into those contaminants that may degrade fish and whale habitat in the ocean, and enable an understanding of the contribution of land-based pollutants from the adjacent watershed to the marine environment.

Collectively, the lessons learned from our partnering watersheds will contribute to a greater understanding of threats to water quality across British Columbia, and ultimately what policy changes can be implemented to preserve the quality of water for the future of salmon, whales, and people.

Methods

Field sampling

A total of 12 water samples were collected from field locations within the Anderson Creek watershed on December 12, 2024 by the Raincoast Healthy Waters team alongside representatives of the Pender Harbour Ocean Discovery Station. An additional 10 samples of tap water were obtained from homes and businesses in the surrounding community on the same day.

A portable water properties meter (YSI-ProDSS) was deployed to measure temperature, pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen and turbidity.

Samples were submitted to three service labs for additional analyses: ALS Environmental, SGS-AXYS, and the Raincoast Conservation Genetics Lab. Contaminant analytes were determined in water samples according to established protocols (see Table 2).

Table 1: Sampling sites in the Anderson Creek watershed

Sample ID	Water Category	Site Name	Lat/Long
AND-24D-01	Source	David Ck	N 49.634620, W 123.953619
AND-24D-01	Source	Delon Ck Tributary 1	N 49.636993, W 123.954480
AND-24D-01	Source	Delon Ck Tributary 2	N 49.638061, W 123.954431
AND-24D-03	Runoff	Ditch Across from Golf Course	N 49.650067, W 123.971707
AND-24D-03	Runoff	Garden Bay Rd. ditch	N 49.638824, W 123.971730
AND-24D-03	Runoff	Sunshine Coast Hwy ditch	N 49.637192, W 123.967910
AND-24D-02	Stream and river	Anderson Ck @ PODS Property	N 49.639021, W 123.967946
AND-24D-02	Stream and river	Anderson Ck @ John Daly Park	N 49.639437, W 123.978186
AND-24D-02	Stream and river	Anderson Ck @ Pond confluence	N 49.639408, W 123.984380
AND-24D-05	Marine	Oyster Bay Rd (Martin's)	N 49.637130, W 123.993736
AND-24D-05	Marine	Hospital Bay Gov't Dock	N 49.632264, W 124.030898
AND-24D-05	Marine	PODS Dock @ Irvine's Landing	N 49.632305, W 124.056749
AND-24D-04	Tap	Tap samples from 10 homes	various

Water quality analyses

Table 2: List of analytes, analysis locations, analytical methods, instruments, and number of samples submitted to service labs

Analyte	Laboratory	Analytical Method	Instruments	No. samples analysed
Tier 1				
Temperature (°C)	in situ		YSI ProDSS	12
Dissolved Oxygen (% , mg/L)	in situ	optical sensor	YSI ProDSS	12
Turbidity (FNU)	in situ		YSI ProDSS	12
Conductivity (uS/cm)	in situ		YSI ProDSS	12
pH	in situ		YSI ProDSS	12
Tier 2				
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	ALS Environmental	APHA 2540 D (mod)	gravimetry	5
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	ALS Environmental	APHA 2540 C (mod)	gravimetry	5
Hardness	ALS Environmental	APHA 2340B	calculated	5
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	ALS Environmental	APHA 5310 B (mod)	combustion	5
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ALS Environmental	APHA 5220 D (mod)	colorimetry	5
Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)	ALS Environmental	APHA 5210 B (mod)	dissolved oxygen meter	5
Nitrate	ALS Environmental	EPA 300.1 (mod)	ion chromatography	5
Ammonia	ALS Environmental	Method Fialab 100, 2018	fluorometry	5
Phosphate	ALS Environmental	APHA 4500-P F (mod)	colorimetry	5
Total Nitrogen	ALS Environmental	Chinchilla Scientific Nitrate Method, 2011	colorimetry	5

Total Metals	ALS Environmental	EPA 200.2/6020B (mod)	Collision/Reaction Cell ICPMS	5
Total coliform	ALS Environmental	APHA 9223 (mod)	MPN	5
Fecal coliform	ALS Environmental	APHA 9223 (mod)	MPN	5
<i>E. coli</i>	ALS Environmental	APHA 9223 (mod)	MPN	5
MST (in Development)	RCF Conservation Genetics Lab (PSEC)	In development		5
6PPD-quinone	Pending		LCMS	5
Tier 3				
Alkylphenol Ethoxylates (APEs)	SGS Axys Analytical	SGS AXYS METHOD MLA-004 Rev 07	GC-MS	5
Bisphenols	SGS Axys Analytical	SGS AXYS METHOD MLA-113 Rev 01	LC-MS/MS	5
Multiresidue Pesticides	SGS Axys Analytical	EPA 1699 (mod)	HRMS	5
Per and Poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)	SGS Axys Analytical	EPA 1633 Draft	LC-MS/MS	5
Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products (PPCPs)	SGS Axys Analytical	EPA 1694	HPLC/MS/MS	5
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)	SGS Axys Analytical	SGS AXYS METHOD MLA-210 Rev 01	GC-MS/MS	5
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)	SGS Axys Analytical	EPA 8270/ EPA 1625	GC-MS	5
Sucralose	SGS Axys Analytical	MLA-116	LC-MS/MS	5

Data handling

In some cases, contaminants were not detected in our water samples and concentrations were therefore considered to be 0 for the calculations of totals.

With each batch of samples, analytical laboratories also ran blank samples (e.g. samples that go through the same laboratory processes as our environmental samples) that should, in theory, not contain any contaminants. However, in some cases, blank samples contained low concentrations of some contaminants. These levels were subtracted from the concentrations measured in each of our environmental samples ('blank correction').

Environmental Quality Guidelines

We interpreted contaminant concentrations using three sets of Canadian environmental quality guidelines (EQGs): provincial (British Columbia (BC)), federal, and those developed by the Canadian Council of the Ministers of the Environment (CCME). The latter CCME guidelines are derived in consultation with the environment ministers from the federal, provincial and territorial governments. Relevant EQGs and Drinking Water Quality Guidelines (DWQGs) from Health Canada are summarized in Appendix 1.

The British Columbia Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy (BC MoECCS) has developed Water Quality Guidelines (WQGs) that are considered protective for different water uses. We refer to WQGs and EQGs interchangeably to simplify the use of terminology from different sources across Canada. We apply EQGs for the protection of aquatic life (source, stream and rivers and Road runoff samples) and marine aquatic life (marine water samples). All approved BC WQGs can be found on the [BC MoECCS website](#).

Federal Environmental Quality Guidelines (FEQGs) are developed to support emerging federal environmental quality monitoring, risk assessment and risk management activities, and are derived to complement those developed by the CCME. They are only available for a limited number of chemicals captured in this list of EQGs ([Government of Canada, 2024](#)).

In addition, BC Working Water Quality Guidelines (WWQGs) are available for some contaminants for which a completed EQG is not yet available.

It is important to note that exceeding a WQG/EQG or WWQG does not imply that unacceptable risk exists but rather that the potential for adverse health effects is increased (BC MoECCS, 2023). Conversely, EQGs may not fully capture the sensitivity of all species to different contaminants, such that adverse effects may occur in some species even at levels below an EQG. EQGs, therefore, serve as a benchmark based on best available evidence at the time of development, and are subject to change as new evidence emerges.

Application of metals guidelines

It is important to note that there are two different types of guidelines for metals (Appendix 2):

- **Fixed guidelines:** a single guideline concentration that can be applied regardless of water chemistry. This type of guideline can be compared directly to our total measured metal concentrations.

- **Formula-based guidelines:** a 'moving' guideline for a metal that is dependent on site-specific water chemistry parameters (including pH and/or hardness and/or dissolved organic carbon (DOC). Where possible, we calculated the chemistry-adjusted guideline values to be compared to our total metal concentrations. While pH and hardness were measured as part of the study, DOC was not and we calculated adjusted-guidelines using 50%, 75%, and 100% of the measured organic carbon (TOC) (Appendix 3,4) to bracket a reasonable range of DOC concentrations, consistent with literature indicating that DOC typically represents the dominant fraction of organic carbon (BC MoECCS, 1998).
- **Where dissolved metal concentrations are needed:** Some guidelines require dissolved metal concentrations for the interpretation of guideline exceedances. As we measured total metal concentrations, there are two metals in this category for which we were unable to interpret metal guideline exceedances (Zn, Mn).

Drinking Water Quality Guidelines

Guidelines are available to protect human health from different contaminants in drinking water. These have been developed at the federal level by Health Canada in collaboration with the Federal-Provincial-Territorial Committee on Drinking Water (CDW) and other federal government departments (Health Canada, 2022). Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality are developed specifically for contaminants that meet all of the following criteria (Health Canada, 2022):

- Exposure to the contaminant could lead to adverse health effects in humans;
- The contaminant is frequently detected or could be expected to be found in a large number of drinking water supplies throughout Canada; and,
- The contaminant is detected, or could be expected to be detected, in drinking water at a level that is of possible human health significance.

In BC, the [First Nations Health Authority \(FNHA\)](#) oversees drinking water safety on reserves, where the Chief and Council are responsible for drinking water infrastructure and monitoring. Monitoring of drinking water relies on meeting the Health Canada DWQGs. Drinking water quality guidelines can be found on the [Health Canada website](#).

Table 3: Analyte classes and number of available Environmental (or Water) Quality Guidelines (EQGs or WQGs) and Drinking Water Quality Guidelines (DWGs) or Objectives

Analyte Class	Number of Analytes Measured	Drinking WQGs	Federal EQGs	BC WQGs	CCME EQGs
Basic Water Properties	5	1	0	4	5
Coliform	3	2	0	0	0
Nutrients	4	2	0	4	4
Metals	37	18	5	18	17
PAHs	76	1	0	10	10
Pesticides	62	6	0	10	9
PPCPs	141	0	2	1	1
PFAS	40	3	1	1	0
PCBs	209	0	0	5	0
Alkylphenols	4	0	0	1	1
Bisphenols	6	0	1	1	0
Sucralose	1	0	0	0	0
6PPD-Quinone	1	0	0	1	0
<i>Total</i>	<i>589</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>47</i>

We applied three sets of EQGs and one set of DWQGs to our water quality data: The Federal government's *Federal Environmental Quality Guidelines* (FEQGs), the BC Government's *Approved Water Quality Guidelines* (BC WQGs), and the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment's (CCME) *Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines* (CCME CEQGs); and Health Canada's *Drinking Water Quality Guidelines*. These guidelines were all designed to protect aquatic life.

International Guidelines and emerging PFAS concerns

Since it takes many years to finalize guidelines and in light of increasing concerns over PFAS as a contaminant of concern has led to toxicity, Health Canada has also established screening values for nine PFAS (Appendix 4). These screening values provide guidance where there is a need for quick response. In addition, more recently, a 'proposed objective' of 30 ng/L for total PFAS was developed which set out a goal for a maximum level of PFAS in drinking water. This proposed objective is based on the sum of specific individual PFAS (29 individual PFAS that are quantified by US EPA methods 533 and 537.1). This objective, when finalized, will replace the two existing drinking water guidelines and nine screening values (Health Canada, 2023).

There exist several thousand PFAS compounds, but only two are regulated in Canada: PFOA and PFOS, which were banned in 2011. Given the increasing concern over the presence,

persistence and toxicity of per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), Health Canada has developed screening values for a number of PFAS compounds. These are considered as approved guidelines for drinking water quality, they are based on risk assessment approaches that are similar to formal guidelines ([Health Canada, 2023](#)). They therefore serve as guidance when evaluating the risk of PFAS exposure from tap water consumption and are considered in the present report.

Given the limited guidance afforded by Canadian guidelines for the rapidly emerging PFAS concerns, we have included guidelines derived internationally for this contaminant class (USA, and European Union).

Table 4: Environmental Quality Guidelines for PFAS (USA and Canada)

Compound	Guideline (mg/L)	Issuing Agency	Notes
PFOS	0.0068	Canadian FEQG	EQG - PFOA under development
PFOS	3	US EPA	DRAFT EQG - Acute
PFOS	0.0084	US EPA	DRAFT EQG - Chronic
PFOA	49	US EPA	DRAFT EQG - Acute
PFOA	0.094	US EPA	DRAFT EQG - Chronic

Very few Environmental Quality Guidelines are available for PFAS. A Canadian Federal EQG was set for PFOS, while a guideline value for PFOA is currently in development.

Table 5: Drinking Water Quality Guidelines for PFAS

Compound	Guideline (ng/L)	Issuing Agency	Notes
Sum of 25 PFAS	30	Health Canada - Drinking Water Quality Objective	Objective expected to become Guideline
PFOS	600	Health Canada	
PFOS	4	US EPA	New in 2024
PFOA	200	Health Canada	
PFOA	4	US EPA	New in 2024
PFHxS	10	US EPA	
PFNA	10	US EPA	
HFPO-DA	10	US EPA	
Total PFAS	500	EU - Drinking Water Directive	

Most available guidelines address the two PFAS compounds thought to be of greatest concern to human health: PFOA and PFOS.

Water properties

Capsule

Basic water properties provided elementary information on the quality of fish habitat in the Anderson Creek watershed. The stream and river sites had the lowest temperature among the non-marine sites. The marine sites were found to have the highest conductivity and temperature among water samples. The road runoff side had dissolved oxygen concentrations lower than the CCME EQG for the protection of freshwater aquatic life.

Introduction

Water properties including temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen, conductivity, pH, and turbidity are commonly measured as a preliminary method of assessing the quality of fish habitat. Temperature and dissolved oxygen are of particular significance to fish - as increased temperatures and low dissolved oxygen are often associated with summertime fish kills (La, 2011), a particular concern for sensitive cold-water species such as salmonids. Conductivity and turbidity measurements can act as proxies for total dissolved solids (TDS) (Rusydi, 2018) and total suspended solids (TSS) respectively (Rügner, *et al*, 2013). These parameters can be relevant as increased TDS and TSS in a body of water can indicate contamination from road salt, nutrients, or flushing of disturbed sediments into the waterway. Unusual conductivity measurements suggest the need for more in-depth analysis for contaminants (Ribeiro de Sousa, 2014).

Methods

A YSI ProDSS was used to take three measurements at each site of the following parameters: temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (mg/L and %), specific conductivity (uS/cm), pH, and turbidity (FNU).

Results

Table 6: Average water property results for categories of water sampled in the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)

Parameter	Source (n=3)	Stream and river (n=3)	Road Runoff (n=1)	Tap (n=10)	Marine (n=3)
Temperature (°C)	7.1 ± 0.08 6.9 - 7.4	4.4 ± 0.11 3.9 - 4.6	6.5 ± 0.33 4.8 - 7.2	-	7.9 ± 0.10 7.3 - 8.1
DO %	89.3 ± 0.13 88.8 - 89.8	88.2 ± 0.24 87.3 - 89.1	41.6 ± 5.4 28.6 - 64.1	-	77.7 ± 0.38 76.4 - 79.8
DO (mg/L)	10.8 ± 0.02 10.8 - 10.9	11.5 ± 0.04 11.3 - 11.7	5.2 ± 0.63 3.6 - 7.7	-	7.8 ± 0.08 7.6 - 8.2
pH	7.6 ± 0.02 7.5 - 7.7	7.4 ± 0.05 7.2 - 7.6	6.6 ± 0.05 6.4 - 6.8	-	7.6 ± 0.04 7.4 - 7.7
Conductivity (uS/cm)	56.3 ± 0.78 53.9 - 59.4	29.7 ± 2.4 19.8 - 34.9	135.9 ± 22.9 68.7 - 223.8	-	39857 ± 593 36895 - 41134
Turbidity (FNU)	1.3 ± 0.26 0.39 - 2.4	0.58 ± 0.13 0.22 - 1.5	2.0 ± 0.54 0.24 - 5.1	-	0.35 ± 0.13 0.03 - 1.1

Data presented above represent mean +/- Standard Error of the Mean (SEM), with the Range in parentheses (min-max). DO = Dissolved Oxygen. uS/cm = MicroSiemens per cm. FNU = Formazin Nephelometric Units. We were unable to take water property measurements of the pooled tap water sample due to logistical reasons.

Conclusions

- Water temperature, pH, and dissolved oxygen were all within EQGs ranges for protection of aquatic life except for the road runoff sample that had dissolved oxygen (5.2 mg/L) lower than the CCME EQG (6.5 - 9.5 mg/L).

Coliform bacteria

Capsule

Coliform bacteria in water represent a potentially serious threat to human health. The highest counts of total and fecal coliform were measured in the road runoff sample. The highest counts of *E. coli* were measured in the marine water sample. There were no coliform bacteria detected in the tap water sample.

Introduction


Coliform bacteria have historically been used to gauge water quality with respect to implications for human recreational use and drinking water consumption (van Elsas, et al., 2013). Most recently, the spotlight has been on counts (MPN or CFU) of the gram-negative coliform bacteria species *Escherichia coli* as an indicator of recent contamination with wastewater, and to determine the risk to human health posed by consumption and recreational use of waterways (Li, 2021). There are no Environmental Quality Guidelines for coliform bacteria, reflecting the general idea that these potentially pathogenic bacteria are not likely to present a risk to aquatic life.

Results

Table 7: Counts (MPN/100ml) of coliform bacteria in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)

Analyte	Source (n=1)	Stream and river (n=1)	Road runoff (n=1)	Tap (n=1)	Marine (n=1)
Total coliform	365	548	1730	0	66
Fecal Coliform	0	2	5	0	5
<i>E. coli</i>	0	1	0	0	5

Figure 2: Coliform counts (MPN) in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



The road runoff sample had the highest coliform counts of total coliform and fecal coliform. The marine sample had the highest counts of *E. coli*. No coliform were detected in the pooled tap water sample.

Conclusions

- *E. coli* was only detected in the stream and river and marine samples.
- There are currently no EQGs available for coliform bacteria for the protection of aquatic life.
- We did not detect any coliform bacteria counts that exceeded recommended levels for recreational use.
- Source water samples were within Health Canada guidelines for raw drinking water sources (<10 MPN/100ml), with this DWQG assuming that municipal, reserve or domestic disinfection processes destroy all coliform prior to drinking.
- Tap water samples did not contain any coliform bacteria.

Nutrients and Physical parameters

Capsule

Nutrients can readily degrade fish habitat by increasing plant and algal growth and causing a reduction in dissolved oxygen. Nitrate was the most commonly detected nutrient in the Anderson Creek watershed, and was found in all water samples. The highest concentration of nitrate was detected in the road runoff sample.

Introduction

Nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus compounds can be naturally occurring, and are critical for the health and growth of plants and animals (CCME, 2016). However, nutrients from fertilizers and wastewater that are released into a body of water can put it at risk of eutrophication - a process which is characterized by an overgrowth of plants and algae and resulting in oxygen depletion (Putt, et al. 2019). Eutrophication poses a significant risk to aquatic life, as low oxygen levels create an inhospitable environment for the survival of fish - in particular salmonids who require relatively high levels of dissolved oxygen for survival and reproduction (Davis, 1975).

In addition, some nutrients such as total ammonia are considered to be acutely toxic to freshwater fish species at concentrations that vary by pH and temperature of the water (CCME, 2010).

Results


Table 8: Concentrations (mg/L) of physical and chemical properties in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)

Analyte	Source (n=1)	Stream and river (n=1)	Runoff (n=1)	Tap (n=1)	Marine (n=1)
Hardness	25	11	23	21	3,800
Solids, total dissolved [TDS]	52	34	85	69	26,100
Solids, total suspended [TSS]	5.5	0	3.5	0	6.5
Carbon, total organic [TOC]	1.9	4.8	4.6	2.3	2.7
Biochemical oxygen demand [BOD]	0	0	0	0	0
Chemical oxygen demand [COD]	0	0	10	0	22

Table 9: Nutrient concentrations (mg/L) in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)

Analyte	Source (n=1)	Stream and river (n=1)	Runoff (n=1)	Tap (n=1)	Marine (n=1)
Ammonia, total (as N)	0	0.0054	0.0136	0.0072	0.0206
Nitrate (as N)	0.171	0.092	0.342	0.169	0.278
Nitrite (as N)	0	0	0	0	0
Nitrogen, total	0.298	0.192	0.528	0.257	0.290
Phosphate, ortho-, dissolved (as P)	0.0018	0	0.0014	0	0.0546

Figure 3: Mean Nutrient concentrations (mg/L) in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



Nitrate (NO_3^-) was the most commonly detected nutrient in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed. The highest concentration (mg/L) was detected in the road runoff sample.

Conclusions

- Nitrate concentrations in the five water categories were ranked from highest to lowest as follows: road runoff > marine > source > tap > stream and river..
- Nitrate was the most frequently detected nutrient in samples across the Anderson Creek watershed.
- Phosphate was detected in three out of five samples with the highest concentrations in the marine sample.
- No nutrient values exceeded available EQGs for the protection of aquatic life.

Metals and minerals

Capsule

Metals can be present in water due to both natural and anthropogenic inputs. For the non marine samples, the highest concentration was reported for the road runoff sample and the lowest for the stream and river sample. Aluminum in the stream and river and road runoff samples exceeded WQG and boron exceeded WQG in the marine sample. Lead was detected in the pooled tap water sample, and did not exceed the current Health Canada guideline for drinking water.

Introduction

Metals are present in aquatic environments as a result of both natural and anthropogenic sources, with baseline levels reflecting the unique geology of the area surrounding a body of water (Jadaa, et al., 2023). Anthropogenic sources of metal contamination in waterways may originate from industrial effluent, municipal wastewater, agricultural practices, and urban runoff.

Many metals are capable of impacting the health of aquatic life, with some representing a priority concern in fish habitat, including zinc (Giardina, et al., 2009) and copper (Malholtra, et al., 2020).

Results


Table 10: Concentrations (mg/L) of metals that were detected in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)

Analyte	Source (n=1)	Stream and river (n=1)	Runoff (n=1)	Tap (n=1)	Marine (n=1)
Aluminum, total*	0.124	0.174	0.21	0.0282	0.054
Arsenic, total	0.00013	0.00027	0.00053	0.00033	0
Barium, total	0.00354	0.00309	0.0103	0.00497	0.0066
Boron, total	0	0	0.046	0.017	2.89
Cadmium, total	5.9e-06	9.4e-06	1.98e-05	3.03e-05	0
Calcium, total	7.44	3.25	6.83	6.07	255

Cobalt, total	0	0	0.00021	0	0
Copper, total	0	0.00106	0.00112	0.927	0
Iron, total	0.117	0.119	0.264	0.078	0
Lead, total	0	0	0.000196	0.00165	0
Lithium, total	0	0	0	0	0.111
Magnesium, total	1.43	0.708	1.42	1.45	767
Manganese, total	0.00407	0.00314	0.056	0.0107	0.0028
Molybdenum, total	0.00051	0.000464	0.000714	0.0024	0.0083
Nickel, total	0	0	0	0.00559	0
Potassium, total	0.757	0.337	1	0.797	250
Rubidium, total	0.00026	0.00029	0.00094	0.00083	0.066
Selenium, total	6.3e-05	0	6.3e-05	0	0
Silicon, total	8.88	4.53	7.38	5.65	2.88
Sodium, total	2.61	2.11	14.4	11.3	6410
Strontium, total	0.0344	0.0156	0.0501	0.0319	4.83
Sulfur, total	0	0	0.92	0.71	606
Titanium, total	0.00458	0.00137	0.00643	0	0
Uranium, total	0.000117	4e-05	3.8e-05	8.6e-05	0.00199
Vanadium, total	0.00108	0.00068	8e-04	0	0
Zinc, total	0	0	0.004	0.176	0
<i>Total metals</i>	<i>21.4</i>	<i>11.3</i>	<i>32.6</i>	<i>27.3</i>	<i>8298.9</i>

Bold indicates a concentration that exceeded an Environmental or Water Quality Guideline. Additional scrutiny of these findings can be found in Appendix 5 and 6, where certain water quality parameters are applied or can be applied for a site-specific evaluation. *BC (Al) or Federal (Fe) Water Quality Guidelines there were calculated using three different %TOC concentrations that allowed us to compare with metal concentrations in a parallel post hoc exercise (Appendix 3,4).

Figure 4: Total metal concentrations (mg/L) in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



The marine water sample had considerably higher concentrations of metals than the four non-marine samples.

Table 11: Concentrations (mg/L) of lead detected in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)

Analyte	Source (n=1)	Fresh (n=1)	Runoff (n=1)	Tap (n=1)	Marine (n=1)
Lead (mg/L)	0	0	0.000196	0.00165	0

Conclusions

- Total metal concentration for the five water categories was ranked from highest to lowest as follows: marine > road runoff > tap > source > stream and river.
- A few metals exceeded WQGs:
 - Aluminum in the stream and river and road runoff samples exceeded the BC WQG calculated based on water properties measured at the site (see Appendix 3 for calculations);
 - Boron exceeded the BC chronic EQG in the marine sample.
- Lead was detected in the pooled tap water sample (0.00165 mg/L), and was present at a concentration lower than the limit set by Health Canada for safe drinking water of 0.005 mg/L.
- No other analytes exceeded Health Canada drinking water quality guidelines.

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Capsule

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) were detected in all five water samples, with the highest concentrations observed in the marine water sample and the lowest in the tap sample. PAHs in all samples, except road runoff, likely originated from the combustion of solid fuel such as wood, coal or plant material. EQGs were only available for a few PAHs, but no exceedances were observed for any of our samples. Finally, the tap water sample did not exceed the one PAH guideline available for drinking water.

Introduction


Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a complex group of compounds found in coal, petroleum and plant materials. They can enter waterways in the form of liquid petroleum products (gasoline, diesel, oil) or via the incomplete combustion of coal, oil, gas, wood garbage or other organic substances. They can occur naturally or as a result of human activities (anthropogenic). In Canada, forest fires are the single most important natural source of PAHs, while anthropogenic sources include residential wood heating, aluminum smelters, creosote-treated products, spills of petroleum products and metallurgical and coking plants ([Government of Canada, ECCC and Health Canada, 1994](#); Marvin et al., 2021).

Hydrocarbons can enter aquatic ecosystems either directly through oil spills or discharges from vessels (Morales-Caselles et al., 2017) or indirectly through atmospheric deposition, runoff and discharge from wastewater treatment plants. Depending on their molecular size, PAHs vary in toxicity and have been classified as toxic under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (CEPA).

Results


We measured 76 different parent and alkylated PAHs in the five water samples collected within the Anderson Creek watershed during the wet season.

Figure 5: Number of PAHs detected in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



PAHs were detected in all five water categories. The number of PAHs detected ranged from 23 (source, stream and river, tap) to 27 (road runoff) with an average of 24.4 ± 0.9 .


Figure 6: Total PAH concentrations in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



Total PAH levels ranged between 12.7 (tap) and 24.0 ng/L (marine) with an average across all sample types of 17.6 ± 2.0 ng/L.

Ratios of certain PAHs can be used to evaluate sources. Given that only a limited number of PAHs were detected in the water samples, the Fluoranthene - Pyrene ratio could be calculated reliably for all water categories.

Figure 7: Hydrocarbon profiles from wood combustion and fuels in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



All samples, except road runoff, had FI/(FI+Pyr) ratios higher than 0.5 suggesting a contribution of combustion of solid fuel such as wood, plant material or coal as the source of PAHs. The road runoff sample (0.32) had a ratio lower than 0.4 suggesting the contribution of fossil fuel to this sample.

Conclusions

- PAH concentrations were ranked as follows from highest to lowest: marine > road runoff > stream and river > source > tap.
- Total PAH concentrations ranged between 12.7 and 24.0 ng/L in Anderson Creek water samples.
- PAHs in all samples, except road runoff, likely originated from the combustion of solid fuel such as wood or plant material. This is consistent with wood burning for heating homes and wildfires being major sources of PAHs in Canada (Berthiaume et al., 2021). In addition, biomass burning in Asia has been shown to deliver PAHs to Canada through air masses traveling across the Pacific Ocean (Berthiaume et al., 2021).
- All the environmental water samples were well below the EQGs available for individual PAHs (naphthalene, acenaphthene, fluorene, anthracene, phenanthrene, fluoranthene, pyrene, chrysene, benzo-a-pyrene and benzo-a-anthracene).
- DWQG was only available for benzo-a-pyrene (40 ng/L) which was not detected in the tap water sample.

Pesticides

Capsule

A limited number of pesticides were detected in all five water samples, with the highest concentrations in the tap water sample, and the lowest in the marine sample.

Chlorothalonil (80% of samples) was detected in the majority of samples. Together with quintozone, there were two out of the five different pesticides detected still in use in Canada at the time of sampling. Endosulfan was the only pesticide detected that had EQGs but no exceedances for this pesticide were observed for any of the water samples. Canadian drinking water guidelines were only available for six pesticides and were not exceeded in the tap water sample.

Introduction

Pesticides have been developed to control, destroy or inhibit the activities of pests. They have a wide range of applications in agriculture such as insecticide to prevent crop damage and fungicides to prevent plant disease but also in forestry, industry as well as in our own backyards for lawn care or weed and insect control. In Canada, all pesticides used, sold or imported are regulated by Health Canada's Pest Management Agency (PMRA) ([Health Canada, 2007](#)).


While pesticides are mostly applied on terrestrial habitats, they can reach aquatic environments through overspray or drift during application, surface runoff, and through long range atmospheric transport and deposition. It is estimated that 10% of pesticides applied to soil reach non-target areas, leading to their widespread presence in surface waters worldwide (Schulz, 2004; Anderson et al., 2022).

Organochlorine pesticides (OCP) were heavily used from the 1940s to the 1980s, but have been restricted due to their persistence, toxicity and potential for bioaccumulation. Current-use pesticides (CUPs) were subsequently favoured as an alternative to OCPs, and have been widely applied in recent decades (Ding et al., 2023). These tend to be more water-soluble and may be more mobile in fish habitat (Harris et al., 2008).

Results


We measured 62 different pesticides including both legacy and CUPs in the five water samples collected within the Anderson Creek watershed during the wet season.

Figure 8: Number of pesticide detections in water sampled in the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)




The number of pesticides detected ranged from 1 (stream and river, road runoff) to 5 (source) with an average of 2.0 ± 0.5 .

Figure 9: Total pesticide concentrations in water sampled in the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



Total pesticide levels ranged from 0.02 (marine) to 0.45 ng/L (tap), with an average across all water categories of 0.25 ± 0.07 ng/L.

Figure 10: Most frequently detected pesticides in water categories sampled in the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



Numbers refer to water categories (1: Source; 2: Stream and river, 3: Road runoff, 4: Tap, 5: Marine). For example, chlorothalonil was detected in all samples except the marine water samples. Quintozene was only detected in the tap water sample. (Green highlight indicates pesticide still in use)

Chlorothalonil was detected in the majority of samples (80%). Together with quintozene, those two pesticides were the only pesticides detected that are still currently in use in Canada. Chlorothalonil is a fungicide used on field and orchard crops, conifers, greenhouse vegetables, greenhouse and outdoor ornamentals and turf. However, as of 2021, some of its uses have been restricted (greenhouse ornamental cut flowers, greenhouse pachysandra, field grown rose for cut flowers (Health Canada, 2024).

Conclusions

- Pesticide concentrations were ranked as follows from highest to lowest: tap > stream and source > road runoff > marine.
- Total pesticide concentrations ranged from 0.02 to 0.45 ng/L.
- The majority of pesticides detected, except chlorothalonil and quintozone, were no longer in use in Canada at the time of sampling. Their detection likely reflects historical use nearby as well as deposition following long-range atmospheric transport. Interestingly, hexachlorobenzene and endosulfan were the most abundant pesticides detected in air samples collected from four mountains across British Columbia, including Grouse Mountain in North Vancouver (Ding et al., 2023).
- Endosulfan was the only pesticides detected that had EQGs (Appendix 1), and its concentrations did not exceed these.
- Canadian drinking water guidelines were only available for six pesticides (atrazine, chlorpyrifos, dimethoate, metribuzin, malathion and simazine; Appendix X), none of which were exceeded in the tap water sample.

Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products

Capsule

Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products (PPCPs) are a wide category of contaminants that enter the environment via wastewater streams, and are typically not removed during treatment of municipal wastewater. Amphetamine was the most frequently detected PPCP in our samples, and was detected in four out of five samples. The marine water sample contained the greatest number of different PPCP compounds (n=8), the stream and river sample contained the least number of different PPCP compounds (n=1).

Introduction

Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products (PPCPs) comprise a wide range of products and chemical formulations. The common link among these compounds is their use in human health, veterinary health and personal care. Many PPCPs are introduced into the environment via wastewater streams, and are not reliably removed during treatment at wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs).

Pharmaceuticals may enter the environment by way of WWTP effluent, land-applied biosolids and/or septic tank failures (Metcalf et al 2004). Monitoring of source water is deemed an important means of assuring the safety of drinking water, especially First Nations (Schwartz et al., 2021). However, the lack of Environmental Quality Guidelines and Drinking Water Quality Guidelines in Canada for PPCPs and internationally constrains a fulsome risk-based evaluation of environmental concentrations (Lee and Choi, 2019).

Amphetamine is a medication used in the management and treatment of ADHD and narcolepsy. DEET (N,N-diethyl-meta-toluamide) is a widely used insect repellent. Metformin is a drug commonly prescribed for the treatment of diabetes and pre-diabetes, and functions to lower the blood glucose levels of users. Penicillin is a well-known antibiotic used to treat bacterial infections.

Caffeine has been used as an indicator of human wastewater in the environment - as it is relatively stable and persistent in surface waters, but sucralose is increasingly used in its place.

Results

Table 13: PPCP concentrations (ng/L) in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)

Analyte	Source (n=1)	Stream and river (n=1)	Road runoff (n=1)	Tap (n=1)	Marine (n=1)
Caffeine	0	0	0	0	12.8
Penicillin G	1.0	0	3.9	12.6	2.3
Benzoylcegonine	0	0	0	0	0.44
Cocaine	0	0	0	0	0.63
DEET	0	0	0.08	7.3	0.37
Theophylline	0	0	0	0	9.9
Diatrizoic.acid	0	0	23.2	0	0
Amphetamine	0.09	0.15	0.07	0.08	0
Cotinine	0	0	0	0	0.36
Metformin	0	0	0.848	0	14.4
<i>Total PPCP concentrations</i>	<i>1.68</i>	<i>0.15</i>	<i>28.2</i>	<i>19.9</i>	<i>41.2</i>
<i>Number of PPCPs</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>8</i>

The highest total concentration of PPCP compounds was detected in the marine water sample (41.2 ng/L), largely dominated by metformin and caffeine. The lowest total concentration of PPCP compounds was detected in the stream and river sample (0.15 ng/L).

Conclusions

- Total PPCP concentrations in water samples from highest to lowest were as follows: marine > road runoff > tap > source > stream and river.
- There are no EQGs available in Canada for any of the PPCPs we detected.

Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)

Capsule

Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) were detected in all five water categories with the highest concentrations in the road runoff sample and the lowest in the source water. Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) were detected in all samples. None of the samples exceeded the environmental quality guidelines available (PFOS) or drinking water guidelines (PFOA).

Introduction

Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are large group (~15,000 compounds) of human-made substances used in a wide variety of products such as food packaging, non-stick cookware, clothing, and cosmetics, but also lubricants, oil/water repellents, and notably - aqueous film-forming foams (AFFF; Barzen-Hanson et al., 2017). They are extremely stable and therefore persistent in the environment, which has led to the use of the term “forever chemicals” for this category of chemical.


PFAS can be released into the environment from point sources such as manufacturing plants, or sites where firefighting foams have been used. PFAS can also be released through consumer use and disposal of PFAS-containing products. PFAS has been found in all environmental compartments (Moller et al., 2010; [ECCC and Health Canada, 2023](#)).

Evidence of adverse effects on the environment and on human health has led Canada to prohibit the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale and import of a limited number of PFAS including perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS), perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA), long-chain perfluorocarboxylic acids and their salts and precursors under the *Prohibition of Certain Toxic Substances Regulations* and the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act* (CEPA) (ECCC and Health Canada, 2023b). Advancing regulatory aspects pertaining to rapidly emerging concerns about the many PFAS being detected in the environment is a current priority in Canada (Longpre et al., 2020).

Results


We measured 40 different PFAS in the five water samples collected within the Anderson Creek watershed during the wet season.

Figure 12: Number of PFAS substances detected in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)




PFAS were detected in all five water samples. The number of PFAS detected ranged from 5 (road runoff) to 9 (marine) with an average of 7.4 ± 0.7 .

Figure 13: Total PFAS concentrations in water sampled in the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



Total PFAS levels ranged between 0.84 (source) and 3.5 ng/L (road runoff) with an average across all water categories of 2.0 ± 0.5 ng/L.

Figure 13: Most frequently detected PFAS in water sampled in the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



Numbers refer to the water category (1: Source, 2: stream and river, 3: road runoff, 4: tap, 5: Marine). Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) were detected in all samples.

Conclusions

- PFAS concentrations were ranked as follows from highest to lowest: road runoff > tap > marine > stream and river > source.
- Total PFAS levels in water samples collected from the Anderson Creek watershed ranged from 0 to 3.8 ng/L, with an average across all water categories similar to the wet season.
- PFAS concentrations were in the lower range of PFAS levels (0 - 138 ng/L) reported for 29 ambient surface freshwater sites across Canada between 2013 and 2020 (ECCC and Health Canada, 2023).

- All the environmental samples were below the available EQGs (PFOS: Federal Environmental Quality Guideline (FEQG) = 6.8 ug/L; BC Working Water Quality Guideline (WWGG) = 3.4 ug/L), similar to the wet season.
- The total PFAS concentration detected in the tap water sample was below the new Health Canada Drinking Water Quality Objective of 30 ng/L for the sum of 25 different PFAS compounds.
- PFOA was the only PFAS detected in tap water that had a Canadian drinking water guideline available (200 ng/L) and it was not exceeded.
- The US EPA has a stricter guideline for PFOA in drinking water (4 ng/L) which was not exceeded.
- Total PFAS levels were below the European Union Water Directive drinking water quality guideline (500 ng/L).

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Capsule

Despite having been targeted for phase out in Canada in 1977, with some closed uses allowed until December 31, 2029, industrial PCBs continue to be found in the environment, reflecting their stability and persistence. PCBs were detected in all five water samples, with the highest concentration observed in the road runoff water sample and the lowest in the stream and river sample. The tap water sample had the 'lightest' PCB signature while the road runoff water samples had the 'heaviest' PCB signature. None of the samples exceeded the water quality guidelines for individual PCB congeners (PCB-77, -105, -126 and -169) or total PCBs. No Canadian guidelines are available for drinking water.

Introduction

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) comprise 209 congeners that are structurally related but have differing degrees of chlorination. The commercial production of PCBs began in 1929, after which they were heavily used in electrical and hydraulic equipment, as well as in paint additives, sealing and caulking compounds and inks. Due to their adverse health effects, the production of PCBs was banned in the late 1970s around the world (Othman et al., 2022). PCBs are among the first 12 Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) - often referred to as the "dirty dozen" - defined by the Stockholm Convention, an international treaty aimed at eliminating or restricting the production and use of POPs ([UNEP, 2025](#)).


PCBs were never produced in Canada, but were widely used, and are currently specified on the List of Toxic Substances under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (ECCC 2010). Despite restrictions beginning in 1977, PCBs continue to pose a threat due to their persistence in the environment and their release from products that were manufactured before the ban, and/or were improperly disposed of (Othman et al., 2022). Closed use applications in the electricity generation sector may continue in Canada until final phase out in December 2029 (ECCC 2023). Military uses of PCBs may continue thereafter.

In British Columbia (BC), PCBs remain the number one contaminant of concern in marine food webs with the iconic killer whales being among some of the most-PCB contaminated marine mammals in the world (Ross et al., 2000). Regulatory steps in the 1970s and since have led to declining PCB concentrations in aquatic animals in BC (Ross et al., 2013).

Results


Out of the 209 individual congeners, we measured 159 of them in the five water samples collected within the Anderson Creek watershed during the wet season.

Figure 14: Number of PCB detections in water sampled from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



PCBs were detected in all five water categories. The number of PCBs detected ranged from 45 (source) to 64 (tap) with an average of 54.4 ± 3.4 .


Figure 15: Total PCB concentrations in water sampled from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



Total PCB levels ranged from 20.6 (stream and river) to 41.2 pg/L (road runoff), with an average across all water categories of 28.2 ± 4.3 pg/L.

The 209 individual PCBs have different degrees of chlorination, with each individual PCB containing between 1 and 10 chlorine atoms in their structure. PCBs can be categorized by their degree of chlorination into homologue groups. For example, all PCBs with one chlorine will fall into the mono-chlorinated homologue group and all PCBs with five chlorines will fall into the penta-chlorinated PCBs. In general, the more chlorines bound to a biphenyl ring, the 'heavier' the PCB molecule is. Heavier PCBs tend to not travel far from their sources, whereas lighter PCBs are more volatile and can undergo long-range transport. PCBs are strongly lipophilic - fat-soluble - such that they have a tendency to bind to organic particles and fatty tissues, rather than dissolve in water.

Figure 16: Homologue group contribution to total PCBs in water sampled from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)



The lighter colours represent 'lighter' PCB homologue groups, such that the tap had the 'lightest' PCB signature while the road runoff sample had the 'heaviest' PCB signature.

Conclusions

- PCB concentrations were ranked as follows from highest to lowest: road runoff > tap > marine > source > stream and river.
- PCB concentrations ranged from 20.6 to 41.2 pg/L. In a recent study of urban-influenced and background stream and rivers samples collected in the northwestern part of Lake Ontario, Zhang et al. (2020) identified PCBs as the dominant compound class measured with levels ranging from 10 pg/L in remote areas to 4,100 pg/L in urban areas.

- The PCB levels reported here were in the range reported northwest of Lake Ontario. In their study of air samples in coastal British Columbia, Noël et al. (2004) also observed uniform background levels for this legacy compound.
- Environmental Quality Guidelines were available for four individual PCBs (PCB-77, -105, -126 and -169), as well as total PCBs which were not exceeded.
- There are no guidelines for PCBs in drinking water in Canada. The US Environmental Protection Agency's enforceable Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) for PCBs in public water systems is 500,000 pg/L (EPA, 2001), well above the 35.7 pg/L reported in the current tap water sample.

Alkylphenol Ethoxylates

Capsule

Alkylphenol ethoxylates (APEs) are industrial grade surfactants that have been found in wastewater and industrial discharges. APEs were not detected in any of the samples collected from Anderson Creek in the 2024 wet season.

Introduction

Alkylphenol ethoxylates are non-ionic surfactants used in industrial and consumer applications. APEs and their breakdown products are considered estrogenic and can disrupt reproductive development in fish. These surfactants can be released into the environment via municipal and industrial discharges (Lalonde et al., 2021). Once released, APEs may reside in aquatic sediments and/or undergo some breakdown into shorter chain APEs; their half-life is estimated at over 60 years (Shang et al., 1999).

The endocrine-disrupting potential of APEs and their breakdown products in fish and wildlife has represented a concern in receiving waters around municipal wastewater treatment plants (La Guardia et al., 2001).

Results

Table 14: Alkylphenol concentration (ng/L) in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)

Analyte	Source (n=1)	Stream and river (n=1)	Road runoff (n=1)	Tap (n=1)	Marine (n=1)
4-Nonylphenols	0	0	0	0	0
4-Nonylphenol monoethoxylates	0	0	0	0	0
4-Nonylphenol diethoxylates	0	0	0	0	0
4-n-Octylphenol	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Total Alkylphenols</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>

APEs were not detected in the Anderson Creek watershed

Conclusions

- No APEs were detected in water samples collected from the Anderson Creek watershed in the 2024 wet season.

Bisphenols

Capsule

Bisphenols are plastic additives with widely reported estrogenic (endocrine disrupting) properties. The only bisphenol that was detected in the Anderson Creek watershed in the 2024 wet season is bisphenol A (BPA). BPA was detected in three out of five samples, and was detected at the highest concentration in the tap water sample.

Introduction

Bisphenols are used widely in the manufacturing sector, and are primarily used in the production of plastics and resins. Both single and multi-use plastic containers are frequently produced using bisphenol compounds, the most popular of which is Bisphenol A (BPA). Bisphenols are endocrine-disrupting chemicals that have been found to negatively impact reproductive development in fish, amphibians, and mammals (Faheem and Bhandari, 2021; Marlatt, *et al.* (2022)).

BPA has come under intense regulatory scrutiny in recent years. The widespread use of these chemicals in food packaging, beverage containers, and in water delivery systems has led to exposure in the general population, and associations with adverse outcomes in humans (Rochester 2013).

Results

Table 15: Concentration (ng/L) of bisphenols in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)

Analyte	Source (n=1)	Stream and river (n=1)	Road runoff (n=1)	Tap (n=1)	Marine (n=1)
Bisphenol E (BPE)	0	0	0	0	0
Bisphenol F (BPF)	0	0	0	0	0
Bisphenol A (BPA)	0	1.6	4.0	11.9	0
Bisphenol AF (BPAF)	0	0	0	0	0
Bisphenol B (BPB)	0	0	0	0	0
Bisphenol S (BPS)	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Total bisphenols</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1.6</i>	<i>4.0</i>	<i>11.9</i>	<i>0</i>

Conclusions

- Total bisphenol concentration for the five water samples was ranked from highest to lowest as follows: tap > road runoff > stream and river > source = marine.
- The only bisphenol detected in the Anderson Creek water samples was bisphenol A (BPA).
- BPA was detected in three out of the five water samples with the highest concentration in the tap water sample.
- A FEQG for BPA is set at 1.4 ug/L (1400 ng/L), which is much higher than the concentration we measured in the stream and river and road runoff water samples (1.6 and 4.0 ng/L, respectively).
- There is no guideline for BPA in drinking water.

Sucralose

Capsule

Sucralose is a popular artificial sweetener (trade name ‘*Splenda*’) used in foods and beverages. Because it survives metabolism and the wastewater treatment process, sucralose has become a useful tracer of domestic wastewater. Sucralose was detected in three out of five water samples collected within the Anderson Creek watershed. It was detected at the highest concentration in the road runoff water sample.

Introduction

Sucralose (*Splenda*) is an artificial sweetener used in the production of sugar-free food and beverage products. Its popularity and its resistance to breakdown have led to its adoption as a useful tracer of human wastewater infiltration (Oppenheimer et al., 2011). It is not generally considered to be toxic, such that its utility as a tracer provides an opportunity to better understand the source of other more harmful pollutants in a given body of water.

Sucralose is not fully metabolized by the human body following consumption, and is not removed during the wastewater treatment process. Therefore, its detection in environmental samples indicates the presence of treated or untreated sewage (van Stempvoort et al., 2020).

Results

Table 16: Sucralose concentration (ng/L) in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)

Analyte	Source (n=1)	Stream and river (n=1)	Road runoff (n=1)	Tap (n=1)	Marine (n=1)
Sucralose (ng/L)	0	0	264	91	20.6

Sucralose was detected in the highest concentration in the road runoff sample. The second highest concentration was detected in the tap sample.

Conclusions

- Sucralose concentrations in water samples from highest to lowest are as follows:
road runoff > tap > marine > source = stream and river.



- There are no current Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines available for sucralose.
- There are no current Health Canada Drinking Water Guidelines available for sucralose.

6PPD-Quinone

Capsule

The breakdown product of an anti-oxidant and anti-ozonant chemical in vehicle tires (6PPD-quinone) has been associated with significant and repeated instances of coho salmon mortality events in Washington State and in British Columbia. 6PPD-Q was only detected in the source and road runoff samples at concentrations below the newly developed BC WQG.

Introduction

6PPD is a chemical that is added to automotive tire rubber during the manufacturing process in order to extend the life of tires. When 6PPD comes into contact with air, it oxidizes and becomes 6PPD-Quinone - a transformation product that in recent years was discovered to be lethal to Coho salmon (*Onchorhynchus kitsutch*) at low concentrations (Lo et al., 2023; Tian et al., 2021). 6PPD-Quinone is the causative agent of what has been deemed Urban Runoff Mortality Syndrome (URMS) - which has seen mortality rates of up to 90 percent. Research is being conducted to assess the risk to other fish species.

Results

Table 17: 6PPD-Quinone concentration (ng/L) in water samples from the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season 2024)

Analyte	Source (n=1)	Stream and river (n=1)	Road runoff (n=1)	Tap (n=1)	Marine (n=1)
6PPD-q (ng/L)	0.18	0	0.67	0	0

6PPD-Q was only detected in the source and road runoff samples at low levels


Conclusions

- 6PPD-quinone concentrations in water samples from highest to lowest are as follows: road runoff > source > stream and river = tap = marine.
- The BC Ministry of Water, Land and Resource Stewardship released an official short-term acute Water Quality Guideline (WQG) of 0.010 µg/L (10 ng/L) in February 2025. Results from all samples were below this guideline.
- There are no DWQs for 6PPD-quinone.

- The LC50 (the concentration that is lethal to 50% of an experimental population of individuals within 24 hours) for 6PPD-Quinone in coho salmon is 41 ng/L (Lo et al., 2023).

Wet season water quality summary

Figure 19: Water categories for the Anderson Creek watershed ranked by number of contaminant classes detected at highest concentrations in each (WET Season 2024)



The larger the circle, the greater the number of contaminant classes that were detected at the highest concentration. The road runoff sample had the highest number of contaminant classes with the highest concentration (n=5).

This report encapsulates a single wet season water sampling event comprising pooled samples in five water categories: source water, stream & river water, road runoff, tap

water, and marine water. This report presents results for the 3rd of four planned sampling events in the Anderson Creek watershed.

Results suggest that Anderson Creek waters are in relatively good condition, but follow-up study will build upon initial observations of some contaminants of concern in the watershed. Collectively, these findings will provide an integrated evaluation of the contaminants, activities and sectors that are influencing water quality in the Anderson Creek watershed. This 3rd of four reports may, in turn, provide guidance on mitigation, stewardship and restoration initiatives that protect and restore fish habitat throughout the Anderson Creek watershed.

List of acronyms

Abbreviation	Meaning
APE	Alkylphenol ethoxylates
BC EMA	British Columbia Environmental Management Act
CCME	Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment
CEC	Contaminants of Emerging Concern
CEPA	Canadian Environmental Protection Act
CUP	Current-use pesticide
DO	Dissolved oxygen
DRIPA	Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act
ECCC	Environment and Climate Change Canada
MOE	Ministry of Environment
MST	Microbial Source Tracking
NP	Nonylphenol
PAH	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyls
PFAS	Polyfluoroalkyl substances
PFOA	Perfluorooctanoic acid
PFOS	Perfluorooctane sulfonate
POP	Persistent organic pollutant
PPCP	Pharmaceutical and personal care products
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride

TDS	Total dissolved solids
TOC	Total organic carbon
TSS	Total suspended solids
TWP	Tire wear particle
WQGs	Water Quality Guidelines
WQI	Water Quality Index
WWTP	Wastewater treatment plant

References

Barzen-Hanson KA, Roberts SC, Choyke S, Oetjen K, McAlees A, Riddell N, McCrindle R, Ferguson PL, Higgins CP, Field JA. 2017. Discovery of 40 classes of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in historical aqueous film-forming foams (AFFFs) and AFFF-impacted groundwater. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 51(4):2047–2057. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b05843>.

Berthiaume A, Galarneau E, Marson G. 2021. Polycyclic aromatic compounds (PACs) in the Canadian environment: sources and emissions. *Environmental Pollution*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116008>.

Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME). 1999. Canadian sediment quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life: endrin [Internet]. Winnipeg (MB): CCME. Available from: <https://www.ccme.ca/en/res/endrin-canadian-sediment-quality-guidelines-for-the-protection-of-aquatic-life-en.pdf>

Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME). 1999. Canadian water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life—dissolved oxygen (freshwater) [Internet]. Winnipeg (MB): CCME. Available from: <https://ccme.ca/en/res/dissolved-oxygen-freshwater-en-canadian-water-quality-guidelines-for-the-protection-of-aquatic-life.pdf>

Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME). 2010. Canadian water quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life: ammonia. In: Canadian environmental quality guidelines, 1999. Winnipeg (MB): CCME. Available from: <https://ccme.ca/en/res/ammonia-en-canadian-water-quality-guidelines-for-the-protection-of-aquatic-life.pdf>

Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME). 2016. Guidance manual for developing nutrient guidelines for rivers and streams [Internet]. Winnipeg (MB): CCME. Available from: <https://ccme.ca/en/res/guidancemanualfordevelopingnutrientguidelinesforriversandstreams.pdf>

Davis J. 1975. Minimal dissolved oxygen requirements of aquatic life with emphasis on Canadian species: a review. *Journal of the Fisheries Board of Canada*. [Volume and page range not provided]. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1139/f75-268>.

Ding Y, Hayward SJ, Westgate JN, Brown TN, Lei YD, Wania F. 2023. Legacy and current-use pesticides in Western Canadian mountain air: influence of pesticide sales, source proximity, and altitude. *Atmospheric Environment*. 308:119882. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119882>.

Lee D, Choi K. 2019. Comparison of regulatory frameworks of environmental risk assessments for human pharmaceuticals in EU, USA, and Canada. *Science of the Total Environment*. 671:1026–1035. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.372>.

Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC). 2023. Update on Canada's national implementation plan under the Stockholm Convention on persistent organic pollutants [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): Environment and Climate Change Canada. [cited 2024 May]. Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/eccc/documents/pdf/cepa/En14-517-2023-eng.pdf>

Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC), Health Canada. 2023. Draft state of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): Government of Canada. [cited 2024 May]. Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/evaluating-existing-substances/draft-state-per-polyfluoroalkyl-substances-report.html>

Giardina A, Larson SE, Wisner B, Wheeler J, Chao M. 2009. Long-term and acute effects of zinc contamination of a stream on fish mortality and physiology. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 28(2):287–295. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1897/07-461.1>.

Government of Canada, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Health Canada. 1994. Canadian Environmental Protection Act—priority substances list assessment report: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): Government of Canada. [cited 2024 May]. Available from: https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/hc-sc/migration/hc-sc/ewh-semt/alt_formats/hecs-sesc/pdf/pubs/contaminants/psl1-lsp1/hydrocarb_aromat_polycycl/hydrocarbons-hydrocarbures-eng.pdf

Government of Canada. 2017. Toxic substances list—hexachlorobenzene (HCB) [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): Government of Canada. [cited 2024 May]. Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/management-toxic-substances/list-canadian-environmental-protection-act/hexachlorobenzene.html>

Health Canada. 2007. Pesticides and health [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): Health Canada. [cited 2024 May]. Available from: https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/hc-sc/migration/hc-sc/ewh-semt/alt_formats/hecs-sesc/pdf/pubs/contaminants/pesticides-eng.pdf

Health Canada. 2010. Polychlorinated biphenyls [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): Health Canada. [cited 2024 May]. Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/chemical-substances/fact-sheets/chemicals-glance/polychlorinated-biphenyls.html>

Health Canada. 2011. Discontinuation of endosulfan [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): Health Canada. [cited 2024 May]. Available from: <https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collectio n 2011/sc-hc/H113-5-2011-1-eng.pdf>

Health Canada. 2016. Special review of simazine: proposed decision for consultation [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): Health Canada. [cited 2024 May]. Available from: <https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collectio n 2016/sc-hc/H113-5-2016-9-eng.pdf>

Jadaa W, Mohammed HK. 2023. Heavy metals—definition, natural and anthropogenic sources of releasing into ecosystems, toxicity, and removal methods—an overview study. *Journal of Ecological Engineering*. 24(6):249–27 [page range appears incomplete]. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/162955>.

La VT, Cooke SJ. 2011. Advancing the science and practice of fish kill investigations. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*. 19(1):21–33. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10641262.2010.531793>.

La Guardia MJ, Hale RC, Harvey E, Mainor TM. 1999. Alkylphenol ethoxylate degradation products in land-applied sewage sludge (biosolids). *Environmental Science & Technology*. 33(9):1366–1372. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1021/es980966z>.

Lalonde B, Garron C. 2021. Nonylphenol, octylphenol, and nonylphenol ethoxylates dissemination in the Canadian freshwater environment. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. 80:319–330. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-020-00807-x>.

Li E, Saleem F, Edge TA, Schellhorn HE. 2021. Biological indicators for fecal pollution detection and source tracking: a review. *Processes*. 9(11):2058. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9112058>.

Lo BP, Marlatt VM, Liao X, Reger S, Gallilee C, Ross ARS, Brown TM. 2023. Acute toxicity of 6PPD-quinone to early life stage juvenile Chinook (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and Coho (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) salmon. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 42(4):741–947. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5568>.

Longpré D, Lorusso L, Levicki C, Carrier R, Cureton P. 2020. PFOS, PFOA, LC-PFCAs, and certain other PFAS: a focus on Canadian guidelines and guidance for contaminated sites management. *Environmental Technology & Innovation*. 18:100752. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2020.100752>.

Malhotra N, Ger TR, Uapipatanakul B, Huang JC, Chen KHC, Hsiao CD. 2020. Review of copper and copper nanoparticle toxicity in fish. *Nanomaterials*. 10(6):1126. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano10061126>.

Marvin CH, Berthiaume A, Burniston DA, Chibwe L, Dove A, Evans M, et al. 2021. Polycyclic aromatic compounds in the Canadian environment: aquatic and terrestrial environments. *Environmental Pollution*. 285:117442. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117442>.

Faheem M, Bhandari RK. 2021. Detrimental effects of bisphenol compounds on physiology and reproduction in fish: a literature review. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*. 81:103497. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2020.103497>.

Metcalfe C, Miao XS, Hua W, Letcher R, Servos M. 2004. Pharmaceuticals in the Canadian environment. In: Kümmerer K, editor. *Pharmaceuticals in the environment*. Berlin (DE): Springer. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-09259-0_6.

Möller A, Ahrens L, Surm R, Westerveld J, van der Wielen F, Ebinghaus R, de Voogt P. 2010. Distribution and sources of polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in the River Rhine watershed. *Environmental Pollution*. 158:3243–3250. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.07.019>.

Noël M, Dangerfield N, Hourston RA, Belzer W, Shaw P, Yunker MB, Ross PS. 2009. Do trans-Pacific air masses deliver PBDEs to coastal British Columbia, Canada? *Environmental Pollution*. 157(12):3404–3412. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.06.025>

Oppenheimer J, Eaton A, Badruzzaman M, Haghani AW, Jacangelo JG. 2011. Occurrence and suitability of sucralose as an indicator compound of wastewater loading to surface waters in urbanized regions. *Water Research*. 45:4019–4027. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.05.014>.

Othman N, Ismail Z, Selamat MI, Sheikh Abdul Kadir SH, Shibraumalisi NA. 2022. A review of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) pollution in the air: where and how much are we exposed to? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 19(21):13923. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192113923>.

Putt AE, MacIsaac EA, Herunter HE, Cooper AB, Selbie DT. 2019. Eutrophication forcings on a peri-urban lake ecosystem: context for integrated watershed to airshed management. *PLoS One*. 14(7). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219241>.

Ribeiro de Sousa DN, Mozeto AA, Carneiro RL, Fadini PS. 2014. Electrical conductivity and emerging contaminant as markers of surface freshwater contamination by wastewater. *Science of the Total Environment*. 484:19–26. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.02.135>.

Rochester JR. 2013. Bisphenol A and human health: a review of the literature. *Reproductive Toxicology*. 42:132–155. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2013.08.008>.

Ross PS, Ellis GM, Ikonomou MG, Barrett-Lennard LG, Addison RF. 2000. High PCB concentrations in free-ranging Pacific killer whales, *Orcinus orca*: effects of age, sex and dietary preference. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 40(6):504–515. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X\(99\)00233-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(99)00233-7).

Ross PS, Noël M, Lambourn DM, Dangerfield N, Calambokidis JC, Jeffries SJ. 2013. Declining concentrations of PCBs, PBDEs, PCDEs and PCNs in harbor seals from the Salish Sea. *Progress in Oceanography*. 115:160–170. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.05.027>.

Rügner H, Schwientek M, Beckingham B, et al. 2013. Turbidity as a proxy for total suspended solids (TSS) and particle facilitated pollutant transport in catchments. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 69:373–380. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-013-2307-1>.

Rusydi AF. 2018. Correlation between conductivity and total dissolved solid in various type of water: A review. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 118:012019. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/118/1/012019>.

Schulz R. 2004. Field studies on exposure, effects, and risk mitigation of aquatic nonpoint-source insecticide pollution: a review. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 33(2):419–448. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2004.0419>.

Schwartz H, Marushka L, Chan HM, et al. 2021. Pharmaceuticals in source waters of 95 First Nations in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*. 112(Suppl 1):133–153. doi: <https://doi.org/10.17269/s41997-021-00499-3>.

Shang D, Macdonald RW, Ikonomou MG. 1999. Persistence of nonylphenol ethoxylate surfactants and their primary degradation products in sediments from near a municipal outfall in the Strait of Georgia, British Columbia, Canada. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 33(9):1366–1372. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1021/es980966z>.

Tian Z, Zhao H, Peter KT, Gonzalez M, Wetzel J, Wu C, Hu X, Prat J, Mudrock E, Hettinger RA, et al. 2021. A ubiquitous tire rubber-derived chemical induces acute mortality in coho salmon. *Science*. 371:185–189. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd6951>.

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). 2025. United Nations Environment Programme: Stockholm Convention on persistent organic pollutants [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jun]. Available from: <https://www.pops.int/partners/unep/overview/tabid/255/default.aspx>

van Elsas JD, Semenov AV, Costa R, Trevors JT. 2011. Survival of *Escherichia coli* in the environment: fundamental and public health aspects. *The ISME Journal*. 5(2):173–183. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.80>.

van Stempvoort DL, Brown SJ, Spoelstra J, Garda D, Robertson WD, Smyth SA. 2020. Variable persistence of artificial sweeteners during wastewater treatment: implications for future use as tracers. *Water Research*. 184:116124. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.06.020>.

Appendix

Appendix 1: Environmental and Drinking water quality guidelines relevant for the present study. These guidelines were retrieved in January 2025

Analyte Class	Federal EQGs ¹	BC WQGs		CCME EQGs ²		Drinking WQGs ⁶
		Freshwater	Marine	Freshwater	Marine	
Basic Water Properties						
Temperature	-	19 (short-term)	+1°C per hour change from background	narrative	max change of +0.5°C per hour	-
pH	-	6.5-9.0	7.0-8.7	6.5-9.0	7.0-8.7	7.0-10.5
Dissolved oxygen	-	>8.0 (long-term) >5.0 (short-term)	-	6.5-9.5 mg/L	80 mg/L	-
Conductivity	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turbidity	-	-	-	narrative	narrative	≤ 1.0 NTU
Nutrients (mg/L)						
Nitrate (as N)	-	3.0 (long-term) 32.8 (short-term)	3.7 (long-term)	550	200 (long-term) 1500 (short-term)	10
Nitrite (as N)	-	table	0.02 when Cl- ≤ 2 (long-term) 0.06 when Cl- ≤ 2 - (short-term)	0.06	-	1.0
Ammonia (Total as N)	-	table	table	table	-	-
Phosphate	-	0.015 (long-term)	-	-	-	-
Coliform						
Total coliform	-	-	-	-	-	0
Fecal coliform	-	-	-	-	-	0
<i>E. coli</i>	-	-	-	-	-	0
PAHs (ug/L)						
Naphthalene	-	1	-	1.1	1.4	-
Acenaphthene	-	6	6	5.8	-	-

Fluorene	-	12	12	3	-	-
Anthracene	-	4	-	0.012	-	-
Phenanthrene	-	0.3	-	4.4	-	-
Fluoranthene	-	4	-	0.04	-	-
Pyrene	-	0.02	-	0.025	-	-
Chrysene	-	-	0.1	-	-	-
Benzo-a-anthracene	-	0.1	-	0.018	-	-
Benzo-a-pyrene	-	0.01	-	0.015	-	0.04
PCBs (ng/L)						
Total PCBs	-	0.1	-	-	-	-
PCB-105	-	0.09	-	-	-	-
PCB-169	-	0.06	-	-	-	-
PCB-77	-	0.04	-	-	-	-
PCB-126	-	0.00025	-	-	-	-
Bisphenols (ug/L)						
BPA	3.5	3.5	-	-	-	-
Alkylphenols (ug/L)						
TEQ ⁵	-	1 (long-term)	-	1	0.7	-
PFAS (ug/L)						
Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS)	6.8 (fresh)	3.4	-	-	-	0.6
Perfluorooctanic acid (PFOA)	-	-	-	-	-	0.2
Pesticides (ug/L)						
Atrazine	-	1.8 ⁵	-	1.8	-	5
Chlorothalonil	-	-	-	0.18	-	-
Cyanazine	-	2	-	-	-	-
Chlorpyrifos	-	0.02	0.002	-	-	90
Diazinon	-	0.0043	-	-	-	-
Dimethoate	-	-	-	6.2	-	20
Endosulfan	-	0.03 (short-term) 0.0015 (long-term)	0.015 (short-term) 0.001 (long-term)	0.06 (short-term) 0.003 (long-term)	0.09 (short-term) 0.002 (long-term)	-
Hexachlorocyclohexane	-	-	-	0.01	-	-
Malathion	-	0.1	-	-	-	290
Metolachlor	-	-	-	7.8	-	-

Metribuzin	-	1 ⁵	-	1.0	-	80
Permethrin	-	0.004 ⁵	-	0.004	0.001	-
Picloram	-	29	-	-	-	-
Simazine	-	10 ⁵	-	10	-	10
Pharmaceuticals (ug/L)						
Carbamazepine	-	-	-	10	-	-
Triclocarban	0.150	0.05	-	-	-	-
Tricolsan	0.470 (benchmark)	-	-	-	-	-
6PPD quinone (ug/L)						
6PPDq	-	0.010	-	-	-	-

¹Federal EQGs apply to both fresh and marine waters unless otherwise stated. ²CCME EQGs are reported for long-term effects unless otherwise stated. ³Some metal guidelines are based on complex formulas that take into account chemical parameters which can be found on the CCME or Government of BC website). ⁴Represents CCME guidelines that the BC government has adopted as working water guidelines. ⁵The TEQ approach is used to characterize the toxicity of nonylphenol mixtures by expressing the toxicities of each individual compound in common terms and summing them. ⁶Drinking Water Quality Guidelines from Health Canada.

Appendix 2: Federal, CCME, Health Canada, and BC environmental and water quality guidelines for metals (mg/L) analyzed in this study. These guidelines were retrieved in January 2025

Analyte Class	Federal EQGs ¹	BC WQGs		CCME EQGs		Health Canada
		Freshwater	Marine	Freshwater	Marine	
Aluminum ¹	Formula requires unavailable DOC value to calculate	Formula requires unavailable DOC value to calculate	-	0.005 if pH < 6.5	-	2.9
Arsenic	-	0.005²	0.0125²	0.005	0.0125	0.01
Barium	-	-	-	-	-	2
Boron	-	1.2 (long-term)	1.2 (long-term)	1.5 (long-term)	-	5
Cadmium	-	Dissolved metal guideline. Formula requires hardness to calculate	0.00012	Hardness applied to formula to calculate exceedance	0.00012	0.007
Chromium*		0.005 (Cr VI)	0.001 (Cr VI) 0.0089(Cr III)	0.0015 (Cr VI)	0.001 (Cr Vi) 0.0089(Cr III)	0.05
Copper	Dissolved metal guideline. Formula requires unavailable DOC, pH and hardness to calculate	Dissolved metal guideline. Formula requires unavailable DOC, pH and hardness to calculate	0.002 (chronic) 0.003 (acute)	Hardness applied to formula to calculate exceedance	-	2
Iron ¹	Formula requires unavailable DOC and pH to calculate	1 (short-term, total iron)	-	0.3 (long-term)	-	<0.1 (aesthetic)
Lead	Dissolved metal guideline. Formula requires unavailable DOC and hardness to calculate	Dissolved metal guideline. Formula requires unavailable DOC and hardness to calculate	0.002 (over 30 days) <0.140 at all times	Hardness applied to formula to calculate exceedance	-	0.005
Manganese*	-	Dissolved metal guideline. Formula requires	-	Dissolved metal guideline. Formula requires	-	0.12

		pH and hardness to calculate		and hardness to calculate		
Methylmercury*	-	0.00002	0.00002	0.000026 (total Hg)	0.000016 (inorganic Hg)	0.001
Molybdenum	-	7.6 (long-term) 46 (short term)	-	0.073	0	-
Nickel	-	Dissolved metal guideline. Formula requires unavailable DOC, pH and hardness to calculate	-	0.025 if Hardness <60 mg/L Formula using hardness for hardness 60 -80 mg/L 0.150 for hardness >180 Unknown hardness 0.025	-	-
Selenium	-	0.002	0.002 0.001 - water column alert - need to increase fish monitoring	0.001	0.001	0.05 0.01 (BC - water source)
Silver	-	0.00012	0.0005	0.00025	-	-
Thallium	-	0.0003³	-	0.0008	-	-
Zinc*	-	Dissolved metal guideline. Formula requires unavailable DOC, pH and hardness to calculate	0.010 ²	Dissolved metal guideline. Formula requires unavailable DOC, pH and hardness to calculate	-	-

Bold indicates guidelines that were applied.*indicates that we were unable to compare with available EQGs because we did not measure the required analytes. ¹ Indicates a formula that requires dissolved organic carbon (DOC) which we did not measure. Guideline values were therefore calculated using DOC set at 50%, 75% and 100% of measured total organic carbon (TOC)(See Appendix 5 and 6).² Represents CCME guidelines that the BC government has adopted as working water guidelines. ³ Represents a Working Water Quality Guideline, rather than an approved WQG. Federal EQGs apply to both fresh and marine waters unless otherwise stated. CCME EQGs are reported for long-term effects unless otherwise stated. Formulae for calculating guidelines can be found on the BC MoE website (<https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/air-land-water/water/water-quality/water-quality-guidelines/approved-water-quality-guidelines>, BC MWLRS 2026) and the CCME website (<https://ccme.ca/en/resources/water-aquatic-life>).

Appendix 3: BC Aluminum (Al) Guideline calculations using different dissolved organic carbon estimates based on our measured total organic carbon (TOC)

Site	Measured Al (µg/L)	DOC-adjusted Guideline (50% of TOC) (µg/L)	DOC-adjusted Guideline (75% of TOC) (µg/L)	DOC-adjusted Guideline (100% of TOC) (µg/L)
Source	124	87.9	114.1	137.4
Stream & river*	174	106.0	137.7	165.8
Road runoff*	210	48.6	63.2	76.1
Tap	28.2	-	-	-
Marine	54	-	-	-

Bold indicates an exceeded calculated BC Water Quality Guideline (BC MWLRS 2026). We evaluated guideline exceedances under three scenarios: 50%, 75%, 100% of the TOC that was measured in each water sample to approximate a realistic range of possible DOC values, as we did not measure DOC (BC Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy 1998). *We flag any CCME guideline when exceeded, or a BC Guideline with the above deliberations when at least two of the three TOC scenarios produce exceedances. There exists no marine guideline for aluminum in Canada, so this was not included in the calculation of total exceedances.

Appendix 4: Federal Environmental Quality Guideline calculations for Iron (Fe) using different dissolved organic carbon estimates based on our measured total organic carbon (TOC)

Site	Measured Fe (µg/L)	DOC-adjusted Guideline (50% of TOC) (µg/L)	DOC-adjusted Guideline (75% of TOC) (µg/L)	DOC-adjusted Guideline (100% of TOC) (ug/L)
Source	117	110	180	230
Stream & river	119	280	400	470
Road runoff	264	250	330	440
Tap	78	-	-	-
Marine	0	-	-	-

Bold indicates an exceeded calculated FEQG. It is important to note that the FEQG for iron is meant for use in fresh water, rather than in marine water (ECCC 2024). We evaluated guideline exceedances under three scenarios: 50%, 75%, 100% of the TOC that was measured in each water sample to approximate a realistic range of possible DOC values, as we did not measure DOC (BC Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy 1998). *We flag any CCME guideline when exceeded, or a BC Guideline with the above deliberations when at least two of the three TOC scenarios produce exceedances. There exists no marine guideline for iron in Canada, so this was not included in the calculation of total exceedances.

Appendix 5: Top 6 PAHs with the highest concentration in each water sample from the Anderson Creek watershed and their respective concentrations in brackets (WET Season).

Source	Freshwater	Road Runoff	Tap	Marine
C2-Biphenyls (7.1)	C2-Biphenyls (7.8)	C2-Biphenyls (9.2)	C2-Biphenyls (6.1)	C2-Biphenyls (9.8)
C1-Biphenyls (1.5)	Acenaphthylene (1.9)	C1-Biphenyls (1.9)	C1-Biphenyls (1.6)	Acenaphthene (2.3)
C2-Naphthalene s (0.99)	C1-Biphenyls (1.5)	Pyrene (0.81)	Phenanthrene (0.70)	C1-Biphenyls (2.2)
C4-Phenanthren es, Anthracenes (0.94)	1-Methyl-naphth alene (1.2)	Benzo-ghi-peryle ne (0.73)	C3-Naphthalen es (0.66)	Fluoranthene (1.8)
Retene (0.71)	C1-Naphthalenes (1.1)	Acenaphthylene (0.68)	Naphthalene (0.55)	Phenanthrene (1.7)
C3-Naphthalene s (0.49)	Retene (0.63)	C4-Phenanthren es, Anthracenes (0.65)	C1-Naphthalen es (0.44)	Pyrene (1.0)
Total concentration s of top 6 (% contribution to total PAHs)	11.7 (84%)	14.1 (80%)	10.0 (79%)	18.7 (78%)

Appendix 6: The top 6 PCBs in each water category sampled in the Anderson Creek watershed and their concentrations (WET Season)

	Source	Stream and river	Road runoff	Tap	Marine
	PCB-7 (6.2)	PCB-7 (2.6)	PCB-118 (2.4)	PCB-7 (3.9)	PCB-61+70+74+76 (1.2)
	PCB-209 (1.2)	PCB-165 (1.5)	PCB-11 (1.7)	PCB-11 (3.6)	PCB-93+95+98+100+102 (1.2)
	PCB-93+95+98+100+102 (0.97)	PCB-152 (1.3)	PCB-86+87+97+109+119+125 (1.6)	PCB-44+47+65 (1.4)	PCB-52 (1.1)
	PCB-61+70+74+76 (0.87)	PCB-209 (1.1)	PCB-209 (1.4)	PCB-3 (1.2)	PCB-3 (1.1)
	PCB-198+199 (0.79)	PCB-189 (0.89)	PCB-153+168 (1.4)	PCB-18+30 (1.1)	PCB-133 (0.83)
	PCB-152 (0.68)	PCB-118 (0.85)	PCB-3 (1.4)	PCB-110+115 (1.0)	PCB-90+101+119 (0.78)
Total concentrations of top 6 (% contribution to total PCBs)	10.6 (49%)	8.3 (40%)	9.8 (24%)	12.3 (34%)	6.2 (28%)

Appendix 7: Total analyte concentrations in water sampled in the Anderson Creek watershed (WET Season)

Analyte	Source	Stream and river	Road runoff	Tap	Marine
<i>E. coli</i> (MPN)	0	1	0	0	5
Nitrate (mg/L)	0.171	0.092	0.342	0.169	0.278
Metals (mg/L)	21.4	11.3	32.6	27.3	8298.9
Pesticides (ng/L)	0.26	0.35	0.17	0.45	0.02
PCBs (pg/L)	21.5	20.6	41.2	35.7	21.7
PAHs (ng/L)	13.9	17.7	19.5	12.7	23.9
PPCPs (ng/L)	1.68	0.15	28.2	19.9	41.2
PFAS (ng/L)	0.84	0.99	3.5	3.0	1.7
APEs (ng/L)	0	0	0	0	0
bisphenols (ng/L)	0	1.6	4.0	11.9	0
Sucralose (ng/L)	0	0	264	91	20.6
6-PPDq (ng/L)	0.18	0	0.67	0	0

Bold indicates the highest concentrations across water categories for each contaminant.

Appendix 8: Health Canada Screening values for nine different PFAS compounds

Compound Name	Acronym	Screening value (mg/L)	Screening value (ug/L)
perfluorobutanoate	PFBA	0.03	30
perfluorobutane sulfonate	PFBS	0.015	15
perfluorohexanesulfonate	PFHxS	0.0006	0.6
perfluoropentanoate	PFPeA	0.0002	0.2
perfluorohexanoate	PFHxA	0.0002	0.2
perfluoroheptanoate	PFHpA	0.0002	0.2
perfluorononanoate	PFNA	0.00002	0.02
6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate	6:2 FTS	0.0002	0.2
8:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate	8:2 FTS	0.0002	0.2

Adapted from

<https://www.canada.ca/en/services/health/publications/healthy-living/water-talk-drinking-water-screening-values-perfluoroalkylated-substances.html>

Anderson Creek watershed: Water quality report for the 2024-25 wet season

Ross PS, Blancard J, Noel M and Scott S. 2026. Anderson
Creek watershed: Water quality report for the 2024-25
wet season. 3 of 4. Raincoast Conservation Foundation.
DOI: 10.70766/72.5066

